# Numerical simulations using low-rank approximation

#### Marco Sutti

# Postdoctoral fellow at NCTS 國家理論科學研究中心數學組

#### CFD Seminar, NTUST

November 27, 2023

## Overview

Preprint: Implicit low-rank Riemannian schemes for the time integration of stiff partial differential equations, M. Sutti and B. Vandereycken, submitted, arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11532.

#### Contributions:

- Preconditioner for the Riemannian trust-region (RTR) method on the manifold of fixed-rank matrices (not in this talk).
- Applications within implicit numerical integration schemes to solve stiff, time-dependent PDEs.

#### This talk:

- I. Motivation for considering the low-rank format.
- II. The Allen–Cahn equation.
- III. The Fisher–KPP equation.

# Motivation for the low-rank format/1

- Often, like in CFD, we need to discretize a problem to represent the continuous solution.
- For high-dimensional problems (e.g., Schrödinger equation, Black–Scholes equation...), a "naive" discretization with *n* degrees of freedom in each dimension, leads to n<sup>d</sup> coefficients.
- ▶ For example, if *d* = 15, and *n* = 100 grid points in each dimension, we would need 8 000 TB of memory to store all coefficients in double precision:

$$\frac{100^{15} \times 64 \text{ bits}}{8 \times 10^{12}} = 8 \times 10^{18} = 8\,000 \text{ TB}.$$

NB: 64 is the number of bits necessary to represent a number in double precision arithmetic. 1 TB =  $2^{40}$  bytes  $\approx 10^{12}$  bytes (1 TB is one trillion bytes).

► Since the number of coefficients scales exponentially by *d* but the accuracy is typically determined by *n*, this poses a limitation on the size of the problems → *Curse of dimensionality*.

### Matrix factorization

One of the possible workarounds ~> matrix factorization!

 $X = U\Sigma V^{\top}: \ U^{\top}U = I_r, \ V^{\top}V = I_r, \ \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_i), \ \sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0.$ 



- Only 2nr + r coefficients instead of  $n^2$ . If  $r \ll n$ , then big memory savings.
- Perform the calculations directly in the factorized format.

# Motivation for the low-rank format/2



- Storing a dense  $5000 \times 5000$  matrix in double precision takes  $5000^2 \times 8/2^{20} \approx 191$  MB.
  - ► If it has rank 10 and we store only its factors, it takes  $(2 \times 5000 \times 10 + 10) \times 8/2^{20} = 0.76$  kB.
  - ► If it has rank 100 and we store only its factors, it takes  $(2 \times 5000 \times 100 + 100) \times 8/2^{20} = 7.63$  MB.
- For a matrix stored in the dense format, the storage complexity grows as n<sup>2</sup>, but if the matrix is stored in low-rank format, then the storage grows as nr.

# The Allen–Cahn equation/1

Reaction-diffusion equation that models the process of phase separation in multi-component alloy systems.

- Other applications include mean curvature flows, two-phase incompressible fluids, complex dynamics of dendritic growth, and image segmentation.
- ► In its simplest form, it reads

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \Delta w + w - w^3,$$

where  $w \equiv w(x, t)$ ,  $x \in \Omega = [-\pi, \pi]^2$ , and  $t \ge 0$ .

• It is a stiff PDE with a low-order polynomial nonlinearity and a diffusion term  $\varepsilon \Delta w$ .

Allen-Cahn equation: [Allen/Cahn 1972, Allen/Cahn 1973]

# The Allen–Cahn equation/2 - "naive" discretization

- ▶ Spatial discretization on a uniform grid, 256 × 256 grid points.
  - Storage of each matrix:  $256^2 \times 8/2^{20} \approx 0.5$  MB.
  - The Laplacian  $\Delta w$  is discretized using central finite differences with periodic boundary conditions.
- ▶ Numerical time integration with a fourth-order Runge–Kutta method (ERK4),  $h = 10^{-4}$ , because of the condition for an explicit scheme to be stable (very similar to the CFL condition). Very small time step!



Figure: Time evolution of the solution *w* to the Allen–Cahn equation, with ERK4,  $h = 10^{-4}$ .

## The Allen–Cahn equation/3 - stationary phase

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \Delta w + w - w^3.$$



Figure: Left panel: time evolution of the RHS of the Allen–Cahn equation. Right panel: numerical solution w at time t = 15, with ERK4,  $h = 10^{-4}$ .

For "big enough" t,  $\partial w/\partial t \approx 0$ , i.e., the solution w enters a steady state.

#### The Allen–Cahn equation/4 - rank assessment

Question: is it low rank? Preliminary study on the dense-format solution.



Figure: Time evolution of the rank of the numerical solution  $W_{ref}$  to the Allen–Cahn equation, with ERK4,  $h = 10^{-4}$ .

# Implicit numerical integration scheme & low-rank format

▶ The reference solution in the previous slides is computed with an explicit fourth-order Runge–Kutta method (ERK4),  $h = 10^{-4}$ . Very small!

 $\rightarrow$  Time to perform the entire simulation until t = 15:  $\approx 36.5$  minutes!

- We could use an implicit numerical integration scheme for the time integration.
  - It allows for a larger time step than its explicit counterpart.
  - Typically requires the solution of nonlinear equations, which is very expensive.

 $\sim$  <u>Idea</u>: Using the low-rank format to reduce the computational cost together with an implicit numerical time integration scheme that avoids the restriction on the time step due to the stability condition!

# The Allen–Cahn equation/5 - low-rank simulation



Figure: Panel (a): error versus time for the low-rank evolution of the Allen–Cahn equation. Panel (b): rank evolution of the reference dense-format solution  $W_{ref}$ .

## The Allen–Cahn equation/6 - low-rank simulation

- We can take very big time steps, and still, the numerical solution at the final time has an acceptable error with respect to the reference solution.
  - Time to perform the simulation until t = 15, with h = 0.05:  $\approx 5$  minutes.
  - Time to perform the simulation until t = 15, with h = 1.00:  $\approx 12.5$  seconds.

 $\sim$  Compare with the  $\approx$  36.5 minutes for the dense format!



Figure: Panel (a): error versus time for the low-rank evolution of the Allen–Cahn equation. Panel (b): error at T = 15 versus time step h.

## Fisher-KPP equation/1

- ► Nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation.
  - Models biological population, chemical reaction dynamics with diffusion, theory of combustion to study flame propagation, nuclear reactors, ...
- In its simplest form, it reads

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + r(\omega) w(1-w),$$

where  $w \equiv w(x, t; \omega)$ ,  $r(\omega)$  is a species's reaction rate or growth rate, modeled as a random variable that follows a uniform law  $r \sim \mathcal{U}[1/4, 1/2]$ .

Spatial domain:  $x \in [0, 40]$ , time domain:  $t \in [0, 10]$ .

Homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, i.e.,

$$\forall t \in [0, 10], \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(40, t) = 0.$$

Fisher-KPP equation: [Fisher 1937, Kolmogorov/Petrowsky/Piskunov 1937]

## Fisher-KPP equation/2

► The initial condition is of the form

$$w(x,0;\omega) = a(\omega) e^{-b(\omega)x^2},$$

where  $a \sim \mathcal{U}[1/5, 2/5]$  and  $b \sim \mathcal{U}[1/10, 11/10]$ . The random variables *a*, *b*, and *r* are all independent, and we consider  $N_r = 1000$  realizations.



Figure: Fisher–KPP reference solution computed with an IMEX-CNLF scheme. Panel (a): all the 1000 realizations at t = 0. Panel (b): all the 1000 realizations at t = 10. Panel (c): numerical rank history.

## Fisher-KPP equation/3 - low-rank evolution



Figure: Panel (a): rank history for the low-rank version (LR-CNLF) compared to the reference solution (CNLF), for h = 0.00625. Panel (b): discrete  $L^2$ -norm of the error versus time, for several h.

# Conclusions

Take-home message: Using a low-rank format allows us to reduce the computational cost and use an implicit numerical time integration scheme that avoids the time step restriction of the stability condition!

#### Pros and cons:

- Efficient simulations with the low-rank format.
  - Solid, well-understood theory behind (not discussed in this talk).
- If the problem does not really admit a low-rank representation, then there is no advantage over using dense matrices.

#### Outlook:

- ► Use higher-order numerical integration methods.
- Other applications in mind, e.g., diffusion problems in mathematical biology or problems with low-rank tensor structure.

#### Thank you for your attention!